

such that the vectors

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\alpha_{01}, \alpha_{00}^{-\alpha_{11}}, \alpha_{10}^{-\alpha_{21}}, \dots, \alpha_{(m-1)0}^{-\alpha_{m1}}, \alpha_{m0} \\ -\alpha_{02}, \alpha_{01}^{-\alpha_{12}}, \alpha_{11}^{-\alpha_{22}}, \dots, \alpha_{(m-1)1}^{-\alpha_{m2}}, \alpha_{m1} \\ \dots \\ -\alpha_{0m}, \alpha_{0(m-1)}^{-\alpha_{1m}}, \alpha_{1(m-1)}^{-\alpha_{2m}}, \dots, \alpha_{(m-1)(m-1)}^{-\alpha_{mm}}, \alpha_{m(m-1)} \end{pmatrix}$$

are equal to  $h$  in  $\mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$ .

In the special case when  $h_0=0$  all of the  $\alpha_{0i}$  have to be 0 for  $1 \leq i \leq m$ , i.e.  $\alpha_{01} = \alpha_{02} = \dots = \alpha_{0m} = 0$ . Since the matrix (3.19) has to be non-singular it follows that  $\alpha_{00} \neq 0$ . But the matrix (3.19) belongs to  $PGL(m; \mathbb{C})$ , and thus it is determined up to a scalar multiple. If we put  $\alpha_{00}=1$  then we obtain the group  $G_h$  defined in §1.2 for  $h=(h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{m+1})$ . This gives a connection between the "affine" and "projective definition" of  $G_h$ .

Analogous to Theorem 2.1 one can prove the following theorem for the projective case:

**Theorem 3.6.** Let a vector  $h \in \mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$  be given. The set of matrices  $G_h$  with the matrix multiplication is a closed Lie subgroup of  $PGL(m; \mathbb{C})$  with complex dimension  $m$ . ■

In analogy with the proof of Theorem 2.1 one can verify that  $G_h$  coincides with the derived group  $(G^{(m)}, *)$  for arbitrary projective  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -group  $(G, f)$  whose characteristic polynomial is

$$h_0 t^{m+1} - h_1 t^m + h_2 t^{m-1} - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} h_{m+1}.$$

The following theorems can be proved in the same way as Theorems 2.2, 1.11 and 2.3 for the affine case.

**Theorem 3.7.** Let a vector  $h = h_0^m \in \mathbb{C}P^{m+1}$  be given, and let the polynomial

$$P(t) = h_0 t^{m+1} - h_1 t^m + h_2 t^{m-1} - \dots + (-1)^{m+1} h_{m+1}$$

has exactly  $s$  different roots in  $\mathbb{C}^*$  ( $s \in \{1, \dots, m+1\}$ ). Then

$$G_h \cong \underbrace{C_1 \times \dots \times C_1}_{s-1} \times \underbrace{C_0 \times \dots \times C_0}_{m+1-s} \quad \blacksquare \quad (3.20)$$

**Theorem 3.8.** Let a non-singular projective  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ - semigroup be given, and let the corresponding characteristic polynomial be  $\Delta^*(z_1^k)$ .

Then there exists a polynomial  $\Delta^*$  of one variable such that

$$\Delta^*(z_1^k) = \Delta^*(z_1) \cdot \Delta^*(z_2) \cdot \dots \cdot \Delta^*(z_k) \quad (3.21)$$

and  $\deg \Delta^*(z) \leq m+1$ . ■

**Theorem 3.9.** Let a non-singular projective  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ - semigroup on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (group on  $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \mathcal{R}$ ) be given, and suppose that its characteristic polynomial has exactly  $s$  different roots in  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . Then the given projective  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -semigroup (group) is induced by  $k^{s-1}$  different projective  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -semigroups on  $\mathbb{C}^*$  (groups on  $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \mathcal{R}$ ). ■

In this chapter we have not considered the question about isomorphism between two projective (affine)  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ - groups (semigroups). Having in mind Theorem 3, the following question appears naturally. If two  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -groups (semigroups) are isomorphic, is the isomorphism induced by a bilinear transformation? If we know that the answer of this question is affirmative, then using compositions of the matrix transformations (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6) we would be able to deduce whether two  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ - groups (semigroups) are isomorphic or not.

### III. TOPOLOGICAL COMMUTATIVE (n,m)-SEMIGROUPS AND GROUPS

#### III.1. Topological com(n,m)-semigroups

In the previous chapter we have examined in detail the affine and projective semigroups and groups on subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . We were mainly interested in the maximal com(n,m)-groups on subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . We have shown that if the characteristic polynomial is the zero polynomial, then these groups have only a few elements, while if the characteristic polynomial is not the zero polynomial, then these groups are defined on subsets obtained by removing at least one and at most  $m+1$  points from  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^*$ . In this sense all of them are maximal, but they may have com(n,m)-subgroups.

On the other hand, if we consider  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{C}^*$  and  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  as topological spaces, then all the affine and projective groups examined in the previous chapter are obtained by the symmetric functions and linear transformations from  $\mathbb{C}^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}^m$ , i.e. from  $\mathbb{C}P^n$  to  $\mathbb{C}P^m$ , and such functions are continuous. In this chapter we will examine topological com(n,m)-semigroups and groups.

In this section we will examine com(n,m)-groupoids defined on topological spaces such that the (n,m)-operation is continuous. For this we have to recall some known facts given in ([25], [15]), about the n-fold permutation products of a topological space  $X$ .

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $X$  be a topological space and  $n$  a positive integer. The  $n$ -fold permutation product of  $X$ , is the topological factor space  $X^{(n)} = X^n / \approx$ , where  $X^n$  is the  $n$ -fold topological product of  $X$  and  $\approx$  is the ker of the natural projection  $\pi_n: X^n \rightarrow X^{(n)}$

as defined in 1.1, i.e.  $x_1^n \approx y_1^n$  iff  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  is a permutation of  $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n$ .

Since  $\pi_n$  is a quotient map, it is a continuous surjection. Moreover, it is shown in [25] that  $\pi_n$  is an open and closed map. A simple argument shows that  $\pi_n \times \pi_m: X^{n+m} \rightarrow X^{(n)} \times X^{(m)}$  is an open continuous surjection. Since  $X^{(n)}$  is a factor space, it follows that a map  $f: X^{(n)} \rightarrow Y$  is continuous iff  $f \circ \pi_n$  is continuous from  $X$  to  $Y$ . The above remarks imply that a map  $g: X^{(n)} \times X^{(m)} \rightarrow Y$  is continuous iff the map  $g \circ (\pi_n \times \pi_m): X^{n+m} \rightarrow Y$  is continuous.

The initial studies of the permutation topological products have been done in [20] and [19], where the name "symmetric" product has been used. Here we use the name "permutation" product as it is used in [25]. Later, [21], [14], [15] have described the homotopical and homological properties of permutation products.

Several results about permutation products are stated in [25]. Some of them are the following:

(1) [17] a) For  $n$  odd,  $(S^1)^{(n)} \cong S^1 \times I^{n-1}$ , i.e. the  $n$ -fold permutation product of the circle  $S^1$ , is homeomorphic to the trivial  $(n-1)$ -bundle over  $S^1$ ;

b) For  $n$  even,  $(S^1)^{(n)} \cong S^1 \tilde{\times} I^{n-1}$ , i.e. the  $n$ -fold permutation product of the circle  $S^1$ , is homeomorphic to the nontrivial (nonorientable)  $(n-1)$ -bundle over  $S^1$ . For example, for  $n=2$ ,  $(S^1)^{(2)}$  is homeomorphic to the Mobius band.

2) [16]  $(S^2)^{(n)} \cong (\mathbb{C}^*)^{(n)} \cong \mathbb{C}P^n$ , i.e. the  $n$ -fold permutation product of the 2-dimensional sphere  $S^2$ , (one point compactification  $\mathbb{C}^*$  of the complex plane  $\mathbb{C}$ ) is homeomorphic to the  $n$ -dimensional complex projective space  $\mathbb{C}P^n$ .

3) [25] For  $k \geq 0$ , if  $P_k$  is a set of  $k$  distinct points in  $\mathbb{C}$ , then  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus P_k)^{(n)} \cong (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^k \times \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$ , where  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^0$  is a one point space.

**Definition 1.2.** Let  $Q$  be a topological space and let  $(Q, f)$  be a  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groupoid. We say that  $(Q, f)$  is a **topological (continuous)  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groupoid**, if the map  $f: Q^{(n)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  is continuous. If  $(Q, f)$  is

a topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groupoid, and  $(Q,f)$  is a  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -semigroup, then we say that  $(Q,f)$  is a topological (continuous)  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -semigroup.

For a  $\text{com}(m+k,m)$ -semigroup  $(Q,f)$  where  $Q$  is a topological space, let  $[Q^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}]$  be the space of all the continuous maps from  $Q^{(m)}$  to  $Q^{(m)}$  with the compact-open topology, and let  $\Psi: Q^{(k)} \rightarrow [Q^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}]$  be the map defined by  $\Psi(a) = \varphi_a$ , where  $\varphi_a$  is defined in  $\mathbb{I}$ , (3.1).

**Proposition 1.1.** Let  $Q$  be a topological space, and let  $(Q,f)$  be a  $\text{com}(m+k,m)$ -semigroup. Then:  $(Q,f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+k,m)$ -semigroup iff  $\Psi$  is a continuous map.

**Proof.** The map  $\Psi$  is continuous iff the map  $\Psi': Q^{(k)} \times Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  defined by  $\Psi'(a,b) = \Psi(a)(b) = \varphi_a(b)$  is continuous. The conclusion now follows from the following facts: the last map  $\Psi'$  is continuous iff the map  $\Psi' \circ (\pi_k \times \pi_m)$  is continuous; the map  $\Psi' \circ (\pi_k \times \pi_m)$  is equal to the map  $f \circ \pi_{m+k}$ ; and  $f \circ \pi_k$  is continuous iff  $f$  is continuous. ■

The next proposition shows that topological  $\text{com}(m+k,m)$ -semigroups induce topological  $\text{com}(m+sk,m)$ -semigroups.

**Proposition 1.2.** Let  $(Q,f)$  be a topological  $\text{com}(m+k,m)$ -semigroup, and let  $(Q,g)$  be the induced  $\text{com}(m+sk,m)$ -semigroup by  $(Q,f)$ . Then  $(Q,g)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+sk,m)$ -semigroup.

**Proof.** The proof is by induction on  $s$ . Let  $b \in Q^{(k)}$ ,  $a \in Q^{(m+(s-1)k)}$ , and  $g(a,b) = f(h(a),b)$ , where  $(Q,h)$  is the  $\text{com}(m+(s-1)k,m)$ -semigroup induced by  $(Q,f)$ . Now, if we consider the map  $g \circ \pi_{m+sk}$ , it can be written as the composition  $h' \circ (h, \text{id}) \circ (\pi_{m+(s-1)k}, \pi_k)$ , where  $h': Q^{(m)} \times Q^{(k)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  is defined by  $h'(c,d) = f(c,d)$ . The facts that:  $h' \circ (\pi_m, \pi_k) = f \circ \pi_{m+k}$ ,  $f \circ \pi_{m+k}$  is continuous and  $(\pi_m, \pi_k)$  is an open surjection, imply that  $h'$  is continuous. Hence, it follows that  $g \circ \pi_{m+sk}$  is continuous which is equivalent to  $g$  being continuous. ■

We will show that the affine and projective  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -semigroups are topological. For this we need the following facts:

**Proposition 1.3.** (a) ([25], [16]) For each  $m \geq 1$ ,  $\mathcal{Y}_m: \mathbb{C}^{(m)} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  is a homeomorphism.

(b) ([25], [16]) For each  $m \geq 1$ ,  $(\mathbb{C}^*)^{(m)}$  is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{C}P^m$ , via a homeomorphism  $\mathcal{P}_m$  defined by  $\mathcal{Y}_1, \mathcal{Y}_2, \dots, \mathcal{Y}_m$  and the identifica-

tions:

$$\mathbb{C}P^m = 1 \times \mathbb{C}^m \cup 1^2 \times \mathbb{C}^{m-1} \cup \dots \cup 1^{m-2} \times \mathbb{C}^2 \cup 1^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^1 \cup 1^m,$$
 and

$$(\mathbb{C}^*)^{(m)} = (\mathbb{C} \cup \infty)^{(m)} = \mathbb{C}^{(m)} \cup \infty \times \mathbb{C}^{(m-1)} \cup \dots \cup \infty^{m-1} \times \mathbb{C}^{(1)} \cup \infty^m. \blacksquare$$

**Proposition 1.4.** If  $(Q, f)$  is an affine or projective  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -semigroup, then it is a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -semigroup.

**Proof.** Let  $(\mathbb{C}, f)$  be an affine  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -semigroup, i.e.  $f = \varphi_m^{-1} \circ g \circ \varphi_n$ , where  $g: \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  is an affine transformation. Because  $g$  is continuous and  $\varphi_m, \varphi_n$  are homeomorphisms, it follows that  $f$  is continuous, i.e.  $(\mathbb{C}, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -semigroup.

Let  $(\mathbb{C}^*, f)$  be a projective  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -semigroup. Then  $f = \mathcal{P}_m^{-1} \circ g \circ \mathcal{P}_n$  where  $g: \mathbb{C}P^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}P^m$  is a linear transformation. Since  $g$  is continuous and  $\mathcal{P}_m, \mathcal{P}_n$  are homeomorphisms, it follows that  $f$  is continuous, i.e.  $(\mathbb{C}^*, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -semigroup.  $\blacksquare$

### III.2. Topological commutative (n,m)-groups

In this section we will examine topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -semigroups  $(Q,f)$  which are  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups and whose solutions of the equations  $f(a,x) = b$  depend continuously on  $a$  and  $b$ . First we give the definition.

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $(Q,f)$  be a  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group and let  $f': Q^{(k)} \times Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  be the map defined by

$$f'(a,b) = (\varphi_a)^{-1}(b), \quad a \in Q^{(k)}, \quad b \in Q^{(m)} \quad (2.1)$$

where  $\varphi_a$  is defined in I, (3.1).

We say that  $(Q,f)$  is a **topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group** if  $(Q,f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -semigroup and  $f'$  is a continuous map.

Topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups have special properties.

**Proposition 2.1.** Let  $(Q,f)$  be a topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group. Then, for each  $a \in Q^{(k)}$ ,  $\varphi_a: Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  is a homeomorphism.

**Proof.** Since  $(Q,f)$  is a  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group it follows that for each  $a \in Q^{(k)}$ ,  $\varphi_a$  is a bijection.

Next,  $\varphi_a \circ \pi_m = f \circ \pi_n \circ (a, \text{id})$ , where  $(a, \text{id}): Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(n)}$  is the map defined by  $(a, \text{id})(b) = (a, b)$ , which shows that  $\varphi_a \circ \pi_m$  is a continuous map. Hence,  $\varphi_a$  is continuous.

If we denote again by  $(a, \text{id})$  the map from  $Q^{(m)}$  to  $Q^{(k)} \times Q^{(m)}$  defined by  $(a, \text{id})(b) = (a, b)$ , it follows that  $\varphi_a^{-1} = f' \circ (a, \text{id})$ . Since  $f'$  and  $(a, \text{id})$  are continuous, it follows that  $\varphi_a^{-1}$  is continuous. ■

In a similar way as in Proposition 1.1, we can characterize topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups. Let  $\text{Homeo}(Q^{(m)})$  be the subspace of all the self homeomorphisms on  $Q^{(m)}$ , of the space  $[Q^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}]$ . The above Proposition says that if  $(Q,f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group, then  $\Psi(Q^{(k)}) \subseteq \text{Homeo}(Q^{(m)})$ .

**Proposition 2.2.** Let  $(Q, f)$  be a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -semigroup. Then,  $(Q, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group iff  $\Psi(Q^{(k)}) \subseteq \text{Homeo}(Q^{(m)})$  and the map  $\lambda: Q^{(k)} \rightarrow [Q^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}]$  defined by  $\lambda(a, b) = \varphi_a^{-1}(b)$  is continuous.

**Proof.** If  $(Q, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group, then the map  $\lambda$  is continuous because the map  $f'$  defined by (2.1) is continuous, and  $\Psi(Q^{(k)}) \subseteq \text{Homeo}(Q^{(k)})$  by Proposition 2.1.

Conversely, let  $\Psi(Q^{(k)}) \subseteq \text{Homeo}(Q^{(k)})$  and  $\lambda$  be continuous. Then for each  $a \in Q^{(k)}$ ,  $\varphi_a$  is bijection, and so  $(Q, f)$  is a  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group. Since  $\lambda$  is continuous, it follows that  $f'$  is continuous, and  $f$  is continuous by the assumption that  $(Q, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -semigroup. ■

**Proposition 2.3.** Let  $(Q, f)$  be a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group, and let  $(Q, g)$  be the induced  $\text{com}(m+sk, m)$ -group by  $(Q, f)$ . Then  $(Q, g)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+sk, m)$ -group.

**Proof.** Proposition 1.2 implies that  $(Q, g)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -semigroup. For each  $d = a_1 a_2 \dots a_s \in Q^{(sk)}$ ,  $a_i \in Q^{(k)}$ ,  $\varphi_d = \varphi_{a_1} \circ \varphi_{a_2} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{a_s}$ . So,  $\Psi(Q^{(sk)}) \subseteq \text{Homeo}(Q^{(m)})$ . Next,  $\lambda: Q^{(sk)} \rightarrow [Q^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}]$  is continuous because the map  $g': Q^{(sk)} \times Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  defined by  $g'(d, b) = \varphi_d^{-1}(b)$  can be written as the composition of two continuous maps,  $f' \circ (\text{id}, h')$  where  $(Q, h)$  is the induced topological  $\text{com}(m+(s-1)k, m)$ -group, and  $h': Q^{((s-1)k)} \times Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  is defined by (2.1). So, Proposition 2.2 implies that  $(Q, g)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+sk, m)$ -group. ■

Next, let  $(Q, f)$  be a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -semigroup, and let  $(Q, f)$  be a  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group. Let  $m, k, p$ , and  $s$  be as in 1.2, i.e.  $m+p \equiv 0 \pmod{k}$ ,  $m+p=ks$ ,  $k(s-1) < m \leq ks$ . For  $c \in Q^{(p)}$  let  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  be the derived group for  $(Q, f)$  defined by 1.(2.1) or 1.(2.2).

**Proposition 2.4.** Let  $(Q, f)$  be a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -semigroup. Then,  $(Q, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group iff for each  $c \in Q^{(p)}$ ,  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  is a commutative topological group.

**Proof.** Proposition 1.2.1 implies that  $(Q, f)$  is a  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group iff  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  is a commutative group. The map  $\ast: Q^{(m)} \times Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  can be written as the composition  $g \circ (\text{id}, c)$ , where



$(id, c)(x, y) = (x, y, c)$  for  $x, y \in Q^{(m)}$ , and  $(Q, g)$  is the induced  $com(m+sk, m)$ -group, where  $m+p=ks$ . If  $a \in Q^{(m)}$  and  $c \in Q^{(p)}$ , then  $ac = d_1 d_2 \dots d_s$  for  $d_i \in Q^{(k)}$ , and so,  $\varphi_{ac} = \varphi_{d_1} \circ \varphi_{d_2} \circ \dots \circ \varphi_{d_s}$ .

Let  $(Q, f)$  be a topological  $com(m+k, m)$ -group. Then  $(Q, g)$  is a topological group, and so  $*$  is continuous as a composition of two continuous maps. From the definition of  $*$ , it follows that

$$a^{-1} = \varphi_{ac}^{-1} \circ \varphi_{ac}^{-1}(a) = g' \circ ((id, c), g' \circ (id, c))(a), \quad \text{i.e.} \quad {}^{-1}: Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$$

is a continuous map. Hence  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  is a topological group.

Conversely, let  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  be a topological group. It is enough to show that  $f'$  is a continuous map. Since  $f'(x, a) = \varphi_x^{-1}(a)$ , for  $x \in Q^{(k)}$  and  $a \in Q^{(m)}$ , i.e.  $a = f(x, f'(x, a))$  it follows that  $a * e = f(x e f'(x, a))$ , where  $e$  is the neutral element in  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$ , which implies that  $a * e = f(x e) * f'(x, a)$ . So,  $f'(x, a) = (f(x e))^{-1} * a * e$ , which implies that  $f'$  is continuous, because  $f$ ,  $*$  and  ${}^{-1}$  are continuous maps. ■

**Corollary 2.5.** If  $(Q, f)$  is a topological  $com(n, m)$ -group, then  $Q^{(m)}$  is a homogeneous topological space, i.e. for each  $x, y \in Q^{(m)}$ , there exists a homeomorphism  $h: Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$  such that  $h(x) = y$ .

**Proof.** The proof follows from the previous Proposition, and the fact that topological groups are homogeneous spaces. ■

**Corollary 2.6.** For  $m \geq 2$ , there do not exist topological  $com(n, m)$ -groups on the space of real numbers  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**Proof.** The statement follows from Corollary 2.4 and the fact that for  $m \geq 2$ ,  $\mathbb{R}^{(m)}$  is not homogeneous space. ■

**Proposition 2.7.** If  $(Q, f)$  is an affine or projective  $com(n, m)$ -group, then it is a topological  $com(n, m)$ -group.

**Proof.** If  $(Q, f)$  is an affine or projective  $com(n, m)$ -group, then Proposition 1.4 implies that it is a topological  $com(n, m)$ -semigroup, and Theorems 11.2.3 and 11.3.9 imply that  $(Q, f)$  is induced by an affine or projective  $com(m+1, m)$ -group respectively. Now, Proposition 2.3 implies that it is enough to consider only affine or projective  $com(m+1, m)$ -groups  $(Q, f)$ . If  $(Q, f)$  is an affine  $com(m+1, m)$ -group, then it is shown in 11.2 that  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  is a Lie group, and if  $(Q, f)$  is a projective  $com(m+1, m)$ -group, then it is shown in 11.3 that  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  is a Lie group. So, in both cases  $(Q^{(m)}, *)$  is a topological group, and by

Proposition 2.4,  $(Q, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group. ■

We have a similar Proposition as Proposition 1.1.4 for topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groupoids,  $-$ semigroups and  $-$ groups.

**Proposition 2.8.** Let  $(Q, f)$  be a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groupoid,  $-$ semigroup or  $-$ group, and let  $h: Q \rightarrow P$  be a homeomorphism. Then  $(P, g)$  for  $g = h^{(m)} \circ f \circ (h^{(n)})^{-1}$ , is also a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groupoid,  $-$ semigroup or  $-$ group, respectively, where  $h^{(t)}$  is defined by 1. (1.10). ■

The above Proposition does not preserve the property of being an affine or projective  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group, which is shown by the following example:

**Example.** Let  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, f)$  be the affine  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group of Example 5, from 1.5, for  $\lambda=0$ . Let  $h: \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$  be the homeomorphism defined by  $h(z) = z \cdot |z|$ , where  $|z|$  is the length of  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ . Note that the inverse homeomorphism for  $h$  is  $h^{-1}(z) = z/\sqrt{|z|}$ . Via this homeomorphism, we obtain a topological  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, g)$ , where  $g$  is defined by  $g(xyz) = (uv)$  for

$$u/\sqrt{|u|} + v/\sqrt{|v|} = x/\sqrt{|x|} + y/\sqrt{|y|} + z/\sqrt{|z|} \quad \text{and}$$

$$uv/\sqrt{|uv|} = xyz/\sqrt{|xyz|}.$$

Then,  $(\mathcal{Y}_2 \circ g \circ \mathcal{Y}_3^{-1})(a, b, c) = (F(a, b, c), c)$ , where  $F$  is not a linear function of  $a, b$  and  $c$ . Hence  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, g)$  is not an affine semigroup. ■

The above example is an example of a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group which is not affine, but it is isomorphic to an affine  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group. The next example shows that there are topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groups which are not even homeomorphic to an affine  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group, but for them,  $m=1$ .

**Example.** From the Lie group theory, every complex abelian Lie group, of dimension 1 is isomorphic to a factor group of the group of complex numbers with the usual addition of complex numbers,  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ , over a discrete subgroup  $D$ . A discrete subgroup of  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$  is isomorphic to one of the following three groups:  $D = \{0\}$ ,  $D \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and  $D \cong \mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ . If  $D \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , w.l.o.g. we can assume that  $D = \{2k\pi i \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ , and so, the map  $\exp: (\mathbb{C}, +)/D \rightarrow (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  defined by  $\exp(z) = e^z$ , gives an isomorphism of Lie groups. It can be checked that these three groups as algebraic groups are not isomorphic. Since every topological group over a manifold is

isomorphic to a Lie group, it follows that every topological complex  $\text{com}(2,1)$ -group is isomorphic to one of the three groups:  $(\mathbb{C}, +)$ ,  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}, \cdot)$  and  $(\mathbb{C}, +)/\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$ . The first two groups are affine and projective, and by Theorems II.2.2 and II.3.7 it follows that up to isomorphism there are only two affine, i.e. projective complex  $(2,1)$ -groups. Hence the group  $(\mathbb{C}, +)/\mathbb{Z} + i\mathbb{Z}$  is not affine. Moreover, this group is defined on the torus, and the torus is not homeomorphic to a subset of  $\mathbb{C}$  or  $\mathbb{C}^*$ .

### III.3. Locally euclidean topological $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -groups

In this section we will consider topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -groups  $(M, f)$  where the topological space  $M$  is an  $n$ -dimensional manifold, i.e.  $M$  is a Hausdorff topological space and each point  $p \in M$  has a neighborhood which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . We will call these groups locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -groups. Specially if  $m=k=1$  then it is known [18] that each locally euclidean group is a Lie group, and hence  $M$  is a differentiable manifold.

**Proposition 3.1.** If  $(M, f)$  is a locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group, then  $M^{(m)}$  is a manifold of dimension  $m \cdot \dim M$ .

**Proof.** Let us suppose that  $(M, f)$  is a locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group. We choose  $m$  mutually different elements  $a_1, \dots, a_m \in M$ . Then the point  $(a_1, \dots, a_m) \in M^{(m)}$  has a neighborhood which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{m \dim M}$ . Since  $M^{(m)}$  must be homogeneous topological space, we obtain that each point of  $M^{(m)}$  has a neighborhood which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{m \dim M}$ . ■

Let us suppose that  $(M, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group. We know from Proposition 1. 3.4, that the derived group  $(M^{(m)}, *)$  is a topological commutative group. Specially if  $k=1$  the group  $(M^{(m)}, *)$  is just the induced  $\text{com}(2m, m)$ -group considered as a usual  $(2, 1)$ -group, and if  $k=m$  the group  $(M^{(m)}, *)$  is the same  $\text{com}(2m, m)$ -group considered as a usual  $(2, 1)$ -group.

**Proposition 3.2** If  $(M, f)$  is a connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group, then the derived group  $(M^{(m)}, *)$  is a Lie group, and moreover,

$$M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^t \times (S^1)^q. \quad (3.1)$$

**Proof.** Since  $(M, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group, Proposition 2.4 implies that  $(M^{(m)}, *)$  is a topological group. Using the fact that  $(M, f)$  is a locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group, it follows

from Proposition 3.1 that  $M^{(m)}$  is manifold. Thus  $(M^{(m)}, *)$  is a connected locally euclidean topological group and hence it is a connected Lie group. Moreover, it is a commutative Lie group and from the discussion in section 11.2 it follows that  $M^{(m)}$  has the form (3.1). ■

**Theorem 3.3.** Let  $(M, f)$  be a connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group. Then this group is induced by  $k^s$  connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -groups where  $s$  is the rank of the homology group  $H_1(M^{(m)}, \mathbb{Z})$ . Specially if  $M^{(m)}$  is a simply-connected manifold, then the corresponding  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group is induced by exactly one  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -group.

**Proof.** It was proved in Theorem 1.3.5, that a given  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group  $(M, f)$  is induced by a  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -group  $(M, f')$  iff there exists a mapping  $\varphi'_r: M^{(m)} \rightarrow M^{(m)}$  for each  $r \in M$  such that

$$\varphi'_{r_1 \cdots r_k} = \varphi'_{r_1} \circ \varphi'_{r_2} \circ \cdots \circ \varphi'_{r_k}$$

for each  $r_1^k \in M^{(m)}$ . Moreover, each of these  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -groups is given by the following mapping

$$\varphi'_r = \varphi_{ra^{k-1}} \circ u_1^{-1} \tag{3.2}$$

where  $u_1$  is a solution of the following equation

$$(\varphi_a^k)^{k-1} = (u_1)^k \tag{3.3}$$

in the group  $H(M, f)$  where  $a$  is an arbitrary element in  $M$ .

Since  $(M, f)$  is a connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group it follows from Proposition 3.2 that

$$M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^t \times (S^1)^q$$

for some non-negative integers  $t$  and  $q$ . Since  $s = \text{rank} H_1(M^{(m)}, \mathbb{Z})$  we obtain that  $q = s$ . It follows from the Propositions 3.2 and 1.3.4, that the group  $H(M, f)$  is isomorphic to the commutative Lie group  $(\mathbb{R}^t \times (S^1)^q, *)$  and thus the equation (3.3) has exactly  $k^s$  roots in this Lie group. Hence the given  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group is induced by exactly  $k^s$   $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -groups. We see that each of these  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -groups  $(C, h)$  is topological because

$$h(x_1^{m+1}) = \varphi'_{x_1}(x_2^{m+1}) = \varphi_{x_1 a^{k-1}} \circ (u_1)^{-1}(x_2^{m+1})$$

continuously depends on  $x_1^m \in M^{(m+1)}$ , and the solution  $x_1^m$  of the equation

$h(zx_1^m)=y_1^m$  i.e.  $\varphi_{za^{k-1} \circ (u_1)^{-1}}(x_1^m)=y_1^m$  continuously depends on  $z, y_1, \dots, y_m$ .

Since  $M$  is a connected manifold, each of these groups is a connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+1, m)$ -group.

Finally if  $M^{(m)}$  is a simply-connected manifold, then  $s = \text{rank} H_1(M^{(m)}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  and  $k^s = 1$ . ■

**Proposition 3.4.** If  $(R, f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n, 1)$ -group, then  $(R, f)$  is isomorphic to  $(R, g)$  where  $g(r_1^n) = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$ .

**Proof.** Since  $R$  is locally euclidean, it follows from Theorem 3.3, that  $(R, f)$  is induced from a topological  $\text{com}(2, 1)$ -group  $(R, g)$ . But, up to isomorphism, there is only one commutative topological group on  $R$ , and that is the group with the addition of real numbers, i.e.  $g(r, t) = r+t$ . Hence,  $g(r_1^n) = r_1 + r_2 + \dots + r_n$ . ■

We shall give an example showing that Theorem 3.3 does not hold if we omit the assumption that  $M$  is a connected manifold.

**Example.** Let  $M = \{2t+1 \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  and let us define  $f(x, y, z) = x+y+z$  for  $x, y, z \in M$ . Then  $(M, f)$  is a locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(3, 1)$ -group with  $\dim M = 0$ , but  $M$  is not a connected manifold. It can be checked that  $H(M, f) = \{2t \mid t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  with  $(2s) \circ (2q) = 2s+2q$ . Since the equation  $x \circ x = 2$  does not have a solution in  $H(M, f)$ , the given  $\text{com}(3, 1)$ -group  $(M, f)$  is not induced by any  $\text{com}(m, 1)$ -group.

Propositions 3.1 and 3.2 play an important role in the theory of locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -groups. Indeed, if  $(M, f)$  is a connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group, then  $M^{(m)}$  must be a manifold and moreover

$$M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^t \times (S^1)^q.$$

But these two requirements are rarely satisfied. For example if  $\dim M = 1$ , then  $M \cong \mathbb{R}$  or  $M \cong S^1$ . But  $\mathbb{R}^{(m)}$  and  $(S^1)^{(m)}$  are not manifolds of type  $\mathbb{R}^t \times (S^1)^q$  because they are manifolds with a boundary. It is proved in [25] that if  $M^{(m)}$  is a manifold ( $m \geq 2$ ), then  $\dim M = 2$ . Indeed it is proved that if  $m \geq 3$ , then the points  $x_i^m \in M^{(m)}$  such that  $x_i = x_j$  for some  $i \neq j$ , do not have neighborhoods homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^{m \dim M}$ . It is also proved in [25] that  $(S^2)^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{C}P^m$ . But  $\mathbb{C}P^m$  does not have the form  $\mathbb{R}^t \times (S^1)^q$ . Moreover, using the fact that  $M^{(m)}$  is always an orientable manifold, one can prove that  $M$

should also be an orientable manifold. Now using all these facts we obtain the following theorem:

**Theorem 3.5.** If  $(M, f)$  is a locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group for  $m \geq 2$ , then  $\dim M = 2$ ,  $M$  is an orientable manifold and  $M$  is not homeomorphic to the sphere  $S^2$ . ■

There are some other restrictions on the manifold  $M$  for the possibility of defining a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group on  $M$ .

(1) If  $M$  is a compact and orientable 2-dimensional manifold, then  $M^{(m)}$  is also a compact manifold. If  $M$  admits a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group structure, and  $M$  is compact, then by the isomorphism (3.1), we have that  $M^{(m)} \cong (S^1)^{2m}$ .

(2) If  $M$  is an arbitrary manifold and  $m \geq 2$ , then it is proved in [20] that

$$\pi_1(M^{(m)}) \cong H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}). \quad (3.4)$$

So, if  $M$  admits a connected topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group structure, then  $M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2m-q} \times (S^1)^q$ , for  $0 \leq q \leq 2m$ . Then, (3.4) implies that  $H_1(M, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}^q$ .

Above we have given only restrictions when  $M$  does not admit a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group structure. The results in chapters II and III, show that the manifolds homeomorphic to one of the manifolds:  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1\}$ ,  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2\}$ , ...,  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ , admit a topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group structure. Moreover, this result implies that for each  $0 \leq t \leq m$ ,

$$(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t)^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m-t} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^t, \quad (3.5)$$

where  $\mathcal{A}_t$  is a set of  $t$  distinct points in  $\mathbb{C}$ .

These homeomorphisms are proved also in [25].

We note that the isomorphism (3.5) can be written as

$$(\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t)^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2m-t} \times (S^1)^t, \quad (3.6)$$

for  $0 \leq t \leq m$ . The fact that  $(\mathbb{C} \setminus \{1, 2, \dots, 2m\})^{(m)}$  is not a compact topological space and  $(S^1)^{2m}$  is a compact space, implies that it is not possible to obtain homeomorphisms of type (3.6) for each  $0 \leq t \leq 2m$ .

All of the above discussion suggests a consideration not only of locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groups, but complex topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groups, i.e. a consideration of  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -groups on complex manifolds.

§§§.4. Classification of locally euclidean  
topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups

In this section we will give a classification of locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups under certain conjectures, made under the influence of the discussion from the last section §§§.3.

**Conjecture 4.1.** Let  $M$  be a given topological manifold and let  $m \geq 2$ .

(i) If  $0 \leq t \leq m$ , and  $M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2m-t} \times (S^1)^t$  which is equivalent to  $M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{C}^{m-t} \times (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^t$ , then  $M \cong \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t$  where  $\mathcal{A}_t$  is a set of  $t$  distinct complex numbers.

(ii) If  $t \geq m$ , then there does not exist a topological manifold such that  $M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^{2m-t} \times (S^1)^t$ .

With this Conjecture we have the following Corollary:

**Corollary 4.1.** If  $M$  is a connected topological manifold and  $(M,f)$  is a topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group for  $m \geq 2$ , and if Conjecture 4.1 holds, then  $M$  is homeomorphic to one of the manifolds  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t$ , for  $0 \leq t \leq m$ .

**Proof.** Theorem 3.5 implies that  $\dim M = 2$ , Proposition 3.1 implies that  $M^{(m)}$  is a manifold with dimension  $m \cdot \dim M = 2m$ , Proposition 3.2 implies that  $M^{(m)} \cong \mathbb{R}^q \times (S^1)^t \cong \mathbb{R}^{2m-t} \times (S^1)^t$ , and Conjecture 4.1, (i) implies that  $M \cong \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t$  for some  $0 \leq t \leq m$ . ■

Next, let  $(M,f)$  be a given connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group, and let  $m \geq 2$ . Since, by Theorem 3.3, it is induced by a locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+1,m)$ -group  $(M,h)$ , the examination of  $(M,f)$  can be reduced to the examination of  $(M,h)$ . So, we will consider only connected, locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+1,m)$ -groups, i.e. we assume that  $(M,f)$  is a connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(m+1,m)$ -group. Assuming that Conjecture 4.1 holds, we may suppose that  $M \cong \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t$  for some  $1 \leq t \leq m$ . Then,

$$M^{(m)} \cong (\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\})^t \times \mathbb{C}^{m-t}$$









such transformations from one surface to another, we have the following "inner transformation". Namely, for a surface defined by functions  $g_i$  and  $\gamma_j$  and a diffeomorphism  $\phi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ , the surface  $S'$  defined by the functions  $g_i' = g_i \circ \phi$  and  $\gamma_j' = \gamma_j \circ \phi$  satisfies also Property P. We said that these kinds of transformations are "inner", because they depend on the choice of coordinates. The inner transformations (i.e. the change of coordinates) induce on the newly parameterized surface  $S$  a new  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -group, but this new group is isomorphic to the old one. All of the above discussion can be stated in the following Theorem:

**Theorem 4.5.** If Conjecture 4.1. holds, then any two connected, locally euclidean  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups determining the same surface  $S$ , are isomorphic. ■

Because of the above theorem we will be interested in the surfaces  $S$  (with Property P) only as sets, forgetting about their parameterizations, since this gives us characterizations of  $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups up to isomorphism.

The following Proposition gives a way of constructing new surfaces with Property P from a given one.

**Proposition 4.6.** (i) Let  $\phi$  be an automorphism of the group  $(C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$ , where  $q=m-t$ , and let  $S$  be a subset of  $(C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$  with Property P. Then the set  $S' = \phi(S)$  satisfies also Property P. Moreover, the  $\text{com}(m+k,m)$ -groups defined via  $S$  and  $S'$  are isomorphic.

(ii) Let  $S$  be a subset of  $(C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$  with Property P. Then, for given  $\lambda \in (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$ , the set  $S'$  defined by

$$S' = \lambda * S = \{ \lambda * z \mid z \in S \}$$

also satisfies Property P.

**Proof.** (i) The fact that  $\phi$  is an automorphism implies directly the conclusions.

(ii) For a given  $u \in (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$  there is a unique  $z_1^m \in S^{(m)}$ , such that  $(\lambda)^{-m} * u = z_1 * z_2 * \dots * z_m$ , where  $(\lambda)^{-m}$  is the inverse to  $\lambda * \lambda * \dots * \lambda$  in the group  $(C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$ . Hence,  $u = (\lambda * z_1) * (\lambda * z_2) * \dots * (\lambda * z_m)$ , i.e.  $S'$  satisfies Property P. ■

Next, we will examine the system (4.4). The fact that this system has a unique solution  $x = z_1^m \in (\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t)^{(m)}$  for arbitrary  $y = u_1^m \in (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$

implies that (4.4) is equivalent to the system:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi^1(x) = \theta_1(y) \\ \varphi^2(x) = \theta_2(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \varphi^m(x) = \theta_m(y) \\ (z_1 - a_1)(z_2 - a_1) \dots (z_m - a_1) \neq 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ (z_1 - a_t)(z_2 - a_t) \dots (z_m - a_t) \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $\theta: (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q \rightarrow C^m$  is a vector valued function whose component functions are  $\theta_i: (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q \rightarrow C$ , and  $A_t = \{a_1, \dots, a_t\}$ .

The inequalities in the above system are equivalent to:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a_1)^m - (a_1)^{m-1} \cdot \varphi^1(x) + (a_1)^{m-2} \cdot \varphi^2(x) + \dots + (-1)^m \cdot \varphi^m(x) = r_1(y) \neq 0 \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ (a_t)^m - (a_t)^{m-1} \cdot \varphi^1(x) + (a_t)^{m-2} \cdot \varphi^2(x) + \dots + (-1)^m \cdot \varphi^m(x) = r_t(y) \neq 0, \end{array} \right.$$

where  $r_i(y)$  are functions of  $y$ .

The system

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} (a_1)^m - (a_1)^{m-1} \cdot \varphi^1(x) + (a_1)^{m-2} \cdot \varphi^2(x) + \dots + (-1)^m \cdot \varphi^m(x) = r_1(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ (a_t)^m - (a_t)^{m-1} \cdot \varphi^1(x) + (a_t)^{m-2} \cdot \varphi^2(x) + \dots + (-1)^m \cdot \varphi^m(x) = r_t(y) \end{array} \right.$$

thought of as a system with unknowns  $\varphi^{q+1}(x), \dots, \varphi^m(x)$  has a unique solution because  $\det K = \pm \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq t} (a_i - a_j) \neq 0$ , where  $K = [(-1)^{q+j} \cdot (a_s)^{t-j}]$ ,  $1 \leq s, j \leq t$  is the  $t \times t$  matrix for the system.

So, the system (4.4) has the following equivalent form:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \varphi^1(x) = \theta_1(y) \\ \varphi^2(x) = \theta_2(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \varphi^q(x) = \theta_q(y) \\ (z_1 - a_1)(z_2 - a_1) \dots (z_m - a_1) = r_1(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ (z_1 - a_t)(z_2 - a_t) \dots (z_m - a_t) = r_t(y). \end{array} \right.$$

Next, the system

$$\begin{cases} \varphi^1(x) = \theta_1(y) \\ \varphi^2(x) = \theta_2(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ \varphi^q(x) = \theta_q(y) \end{cases}$$

is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_m = r_{t+1}(y) \\ z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_m^2 = r_{t+2}(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ z_1^q + z_2^q + \dots + z_m^q = r_{t+q}(y) = r_m(y). \end{cases}$$

The above discussion shows that the system (4.4) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{cases} (z_1 - a_1)(z_2 - a_1) \dots (z_m - a_1) = r_1(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ (z_1 - a_t)(z_2 - a_t) \dots (z_m - a_t) = r_t(y). \\ z_1 + z_2 + \dots + z_m = r_{t+1}(y) \\ z_1^2 + z_2^2 + \dots + z_m^2 = r_{t+2}(y) \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ z_1^q + z_2^q + \dots + z_m^q = r_m(y). \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

where  $\rho: (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q \rightarrow (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$ , defined by  $\rho = (r_1, \dots, r_m)$ , is a diffeomorphism.

Now, we are able to give examples of surfaces with Property P.

Let  $S$  be the surface defined by the functions

$$\begin{cases} g_i(z) = z - a_i & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq t \\ \gamma_j(z) = z^j & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq q = m - t, \end{cases} \quad (4.6)$$

i.e.

$$S = \{(z - a_1, z - a_2, \dots, z - a_t, z, z^2, \dots, z^q) \mid z \in \mathbb{C}\} \subseteq (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q.$$

The above discussion implies that  $S$  has Property P. Next, Proposition 4.6.(ii) implies that for this  $S$ , the surface  $\lambda * S$ , where  $\lambda = (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_t, \beta_1, \dots, \beta_q) \in (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$  has Property P and is defined by the functions

$$\begin{cases} g_i(z) = \alpha_i(z - a_i) & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq t \\ \gamma_j(z) = z^j + \beta_j & \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq q = m - t. \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

The surface  $\lambda * S$  will be denoted by  $S_\lambda$ . Thus,  $S_e$  is the surface

defined by the functions (4.6) where  $e$  is the identity element in the group  $(C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$ . Next, if we take an automorphism  $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_m)$  of  $(C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$ , for example:

$$\begin{aligned} \phi_1(u_1^t, v_1^q) &= (u_1)^{\alpha_{11}} \dots (u_t)^{\alpha_{1t}} & 1 \leq i \leq t \\ \phi_{t+j}(u_1^t, v_1^q) &= \sum_{1 \leq s \leq q} \beta_{js} \cdot u_s & 1 \leq j \leq q, \end{aligned} \quad (4.8)$$

where  $\alpha_{is} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $1 \leq i, s \leq t$ , with

$$\det[\alpha_{is}] = \pm 1, \quad (4.9)$$

and  $\beta_{js} \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $1 \leq j, s \leq q$ , with

$$\det[\beta_{js}] \neq 0. \quad (4.10)$$

Proposition 4.6.(i) implies that the surface defined by the functions

$$\begin{aligned} g_1(z) &= [\alpha_1(z-a_1)]^{\alpha_{11}} \dots [\alpha_t(z-a_t)]^{\alpha_{1t}} & 1 \leq i \leq t \\ \gamma_j(z) &= \sum_{1 \leq s \leq q} \beta_{js} \cdot (z^s + \beta_s) & 1 \leq j \leq q, \end{aligned} \quad (4.11)$$

also satisfies Property P.

We have the following remarks:

**Remark 4.1.** For arbitrary  $\lambda \in (C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$  and a surface  $S$  given by the functions (4.11), the surface  $\lambda * S$  is defined by functions of the same form (4.11) but with different parameters.

**Remark 4.2.** The automorphisms of form (4.8) do not cover all the automorphisms of  $(C_1)^t \times (C_0)^q$ . For example, the automorphism  $\phi(u_1^t, v_1^q) = (\bar{u}_1^t, \bar{v}_1^q)$ , where  $\bar{z}$  denotes the conjugate of  $z$ , is not of the form (4.8).

**Remark 4.3.** If the functions  $g_1, \gamma_j$  from (4.11) are taken as the function  $g_1, \gamma_j$  in (4.3), then, it is possible to transform (4.3) by the following transformations (I), (II) and (III), to a system of the same form (4.3), but whose functions  $g_1, \gamma_j$  are of the form (4.7), of course with different parameters. The transformations (I), (II) and (III) are the following:

(I) The  $j$ -th equation is multiplied by the  $i$ -th equation, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$ ;

(II) The  $i$ -th and the  $j$ -th equation exchange their places, for  $1 \leq i, j \leq t$  or  $t+1 \leq i, j \leq m$ ;

(III) The  $i$ -th equation is multiplied by a constant  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and added to

the  $j$ -th equation, for  $t+1 \leq i, j \leq m$ .

**Remark 4.4.** The surface defined by the functions (4.11) with the same coordinatization of  $\mathbb{C}^{(m)}$ , defines an affine  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group whose characteristic polynomial has  $t$  distinct roots of multiplicity 1. Conversely, each such affine  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group can be determined by functions of the form (4.11). It will be proved in another paper.

The above discussion led us to the following Conjecture:

**Conjecture 4.2.** Each connected, locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group is isomorphic to an affine  $\text{com}(n, m)$ -group.

**Example.** Let us consider the special case  $m = 2, t = 0$ . Then, Conjecture 4.2 and Remark 4.4 imply that in order to classify all connected locally euclidean topological  $\text{com}(n, 2)$ -groups on  $\mathbb{C}$ , it is enough to clarify the ones which are determined by functions (4.11) for  $m=2$  and  $t=0$ . So, let  $\lambda = (\alpha, \beta)$ . Then  $S_\lambda$  is defined by the functions  $\gamma_1(z) = z + \alpha$  and  $\gamma_2(z) = z^2 + \beta$ , and the induced  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group  $(\mathbb{C}, f)$  is defined by:  $f(u_1^3) = w_1^2 \iff \sum_{1 \leq i \leq 3} ((u_i)^S + \alpha) = \sum_{1 \leq j \leq 2} ((w_j)^S + \beta)$  for  $s=1, 2$ . The function  $z \rightarrow z - \alpha$ , determines a  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group,  $(\mathbb{C}, f)$  given

by

$$\begin{aligned} f(u_1^3) = w_1^2 &\iff \\ \iff \begin{cases} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 = w_1 + w_2 \\ (u_1)^2 + (u_2)^2 + (u_3)^2 - 2\alpha(u_1 + u_2 + u_3) + \beta - \alpha^2 = (w_1)^2 + (w_2)^2 - 2\alpha(w_1 + w_2) \end{cases} \\ \iff \begin{cases} u_1 + u_2 + u_3 = w_1 + w_2 \\ u_1 u_2 + u_1 u_3 + u_2 u_3 + (\alpha^2 - \beta)/2 = w_1 w_2 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

and this is an affine  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group on  $\mathbb{C}$ . If  $\beta = \alpha^2$ , then we obtain the additive  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group from Example 1.5.1. If  $\beta \neq \alpha^2$  then by the linear transformation  $z \rightarrow z \cdot \sqrt{\beta - \alpha^2} / \sqrt{2}$  we obtain the  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group defined by

$$f(u_1^3) = w_1^2 \iff \begin{cases} \varphi^1(u_1^3) = \varphi^1(w_1^2) \\ \varphi^2(u_1^3) = \varphi^2(w_1^2) + 1 \end{cases}$$

and this is the  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -group from Example 1.5.2. We know that these two groups are not isomorphic, and so, by Conjecture 4.2, it follows that up to isomorphism there are exactly two topological  $\text{com}(3, 2)$ -groups, i.e.  $\text{com}(n, 2)$ -groups on  $\mathbb{C}$ .



#### REFERENCES

- [1] G.Čupona; Vector valued semigroups, Semigroup Forum, Vol. 26 (1983), 65-74.
- [2] G.Čupona, D.Dimovski; On a class of vector valued groups; Proc. of Conf. Alg. and Logic, Zagreb, (1984), 29-37.
- [3] G.Čupona, N.Celakoski, S.Markovski, D.Dimovski; Vector valued groupoids semigroups and groups; Vector valued semigroups and groups, Skopje, (1987), 1-79.
- [4] G.Čupona, A.Samardžisk, N.Celakoski; Fully commutative vector valued groupoids, Proc. of Conf. "Algebra and Logic", Sarajevo 1987, Novi Sad (1989), 29-42.
- [5] G.Čupona, D.Dimovski, A.Samardžiski; Fully commutative vector valued groups, Prilozi, MANU, VIII 2, 1987, (1990) 5-17.
- [6] D.Dimovski; Some existence conditions for vector valued groups, God. Zbor. Matem. Fak., 33-34 (99-100), Skopje, (1982-1983), 99-103.
- [7] D.Dimovski; Examples of vector valued groups; Prilozi, MANU, VI.2., Skopje, 1985, (1987) 105-114.
- [8] D.Dimovski, Free vector valued semigroups; Proc.of Conf. Alg. and Logic, Cetinje, 1986, Novi Sad (1987) 55-62.
- [9] D.Dimovski, K.Trenčevski; One-dimensional  $(4,2)$ -Lie groups; Vector valued semigroups and groups, MANU, Skopje, (1987), 91-102.
- [10] D.Dimovski; Free  $(n+1,n)$ -groups; Vector valued semi-groups and groups, MANU, Skopje, (1987), 103-122
- [11] D.Dimovski, K.Trenčevski; Nonexistence of continuous  $(4,3)$ -groups on  $\mathbb{R}$ , Proc. of Conf. Alg. and Logic, Sarajevo, 1987, Novi Sad (1989), 49-59.

- [12] D. Dimovski, B. Janeva, S. Ilić; Free  $(n,m)$ -groups, *Communications in Algebra*, vol. 19, no. 3, (1991) 965-980.
- [13] D. Dimovski; Groups with unique product structures, *Journal of Algebra*, (1992).
- [14] A. Dold; Homology of symmetric products and other functors of complexes, *Ann. of Math.* 75 (1962), 331-341.
- [15] В. Б. Федорчук, О некоторых, геометрических свойствах ковариантных функторов, *Усп. Мат. Наук*, Т. 39, в. 5(239) (1984), 167-208.
- [16] S. D. Liao; On the topology of cyclic products on spheres, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 77 (1954) 520-551.551.
- [17] H. R. Morton; Symmetric product of the circle, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* 63, (1967), 349-352.
- [18] М. М. Постников, Группы и алгебры Ли, Наука, Москва (1982).
- [19] M. Richardson; On the homology characters of symmetric products, *Duke. Math. J.* 1, (1935), 50-59.
- [20] P. A. Smith; The topology of involutions, *Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci.* 19, (1933), 612-618.
- [21] R. Swan; The homology of cyclic products, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 95 (1960), 27-68.
- [22] K. Trenčevski; A note on non-existence for some classes of continuous  $(3,2)$ -groups, *Proc. of Conf. Alg. and Logic, Cetinje, 1986, Novi Sad* (1987) 205-208.
- [23] K. Trenčevski; Some examples of fully commutative vector valued groups, *Prilozi, IX 1-2, MANU*, (1988), 27-37.
- [24] Б. Трпеновски, Ѓ. Чупона;  $[m,n]$ -группоиди, *Билтен ДМФ СРМ Скопје*, 21, (1970) 19-29.
- [25] C. H. Wagner; Symmetric, cyclic and permutation products of manifolds, *Dissert. Math. (Rozrawy math.)* 182, (1980), 3-48.

## I N D E X

affine com(n,m)-group 7, 51  
affine com(n,m)-groupoid 7, 41  
affine com(n,m)-semigroup 7, 41  
binary operation \* 3, 18  
characteristic polynomial 50, 52, 77  
characteristic vector 44  
com(n,m)-group 2, 15  
com(n,m)-groupoid 2, 14  
com(n,m)-operation 2, 14  
com(n,m)-semigroup 2, 14  
com(n,m)-subgroupoid 16  
derived group 3, 19  
derived semigroup 3, 18  
dimension of  $x \in Q^{(p)}$  19  
free (n,m)-group 14  
fully commutative vector valued group 13  
fully commutative vector valued groupoid 13  
fully commutative vector valued semigroup 13  
homogeneous topological space 10, 94  
irregular affine com(n,m)-semigroup 44  
isomorphism 17  
locally euclidean topological com(n,m)-group 11, 97  
n-dimensional manifold 10, 97  
n-fold permutation product 9, 88  
n-group 2

n-groupoid 2  
 (n,m)-group 2, 13  
 (n,m)-groupoid 1, 13  
 (n,m)-operation 1, 13  
 (n,m)-semigroup 1, 13  
 non-singular com(n,m)-semigroup 27  
 n-semigroup 2  
 projective com(n,m)-group 9, 73  
 projective com(n,m)-groupoid 9, 73  
 projective com(n,m)-semigroup 9, 73  
 regular affine com(n,m)-semigroup 44  
 semi canonical form 103  
 singular com(n,m)-semigroup 27  
 singular element 4, 27  
 singular set 27  
 symmetric function 5, 28  
 topological com(n,m)-group 10, 90  
 topological com(n,m)-groupoid 10, 89  
 topological com(n,m)-semigroup 10, 90  
 universal commutative group 20  
 universal commutative semigroup 19  
 vector valued group 13  
 vector valued groupoid 13  
 vector valued semigroup 13

NOTATIONS

$\mathcal{A}_t$ 11, 101 $a_1^p$ 1 $A(m; \mathbb{C})$ 7, 56 $C_p$ 65 $\mathbb{C}^n$ 9, 72 $\mathbb{C}P^n$ 9, 72 $f^{(s)}$ 3, 15 $f'$ 10, 92 $\varphi_x$ 22 $\bar{\varphi}_p$ 5, 30 $G_h$ 7, 56, 85 $G^*$ 57 $\text{Hom}(Q^{(m)}, Q^{(m)})$ 4, 22 $H'(Q, f)$ 4, 22 $H(Q, f)$ 4, 22 $\text{Homeo}(Q^{(m)})$ 92 $\mathcal{L}$ 43 $\mathcal{P}_t(A)$ 5, 28 $P_n$ 8, 72	$P_n^*$ 72 $\text{PGL}(m; \mathbb{C})$ 83 $\pi_p$ 14 $Q^p$ 1 $Q^{(m)}$ 2, 14 $Q^+$ 14 $Q^{(+)}$ 14 $Q_\alpha$ 14 $\langle Q \rangle$ 14 $(Q, f)$ 2, 15 $[Q^{(m)}, Q^{(m)}]$ 90 $Q^{(v)}$ 19 $\mathcal{R}$ 4, 27 $S^m$ 4, 28 $S_m$ 5, 28 $S_\lambda$ 107 $\mathcal{T}$ 43 $X^{(n)}$ 10, 88
---	--

## РЕЗИМЕ

Поимите за векторско вредносни групоици, полугрупи и групи се воведени од проф. Ѓ.Чупона и проф. Б.Трпеновски. Оваа теорија беше развивана и беа добиени интересни резултати од повеќе математичари од Скопје, Нови Сад и Ниш. Еден од главните резултати беше описот на слободните векторско вредносни полугрупи и групи. Од познатите резултати за векторско вредносни структури прозлегува дека овие структури од една страна се слични на обичните алгебарски бинарни структури, но од друга страна тие имаат нови идеи и специфични особини. Еден дел од оваа теорија е теоријата на потполно комутативни векторско вредносни алгебарски структури, и оваа монографија е пред сè посветена на овие структури.

Монографијата содржи три глави.

Во првата глава на почетокот се дадени основните дефиниции и познати факти за векторско вредносните групоици, полугрупи и групи, а потоа се добиени повеќе нови дефиниции, особини и теореми за комутативните векторско вредносни полугрупи и групи.

Познатите нетривијални примери на комутативни векторско вредносни структури се добиени на двоелементни множества и на множества од комплексни броеви  $\mathbb{C}$ , каде особината дека  $\mathbb{C}$  е алгебарски затворено поле е од суштинско значење. Сите овие примери не наведуваат на поимот за афини комутативни полугрупи и групи, и тие се детално проучувани во втората глава. Во истата глава се докажани и повеќе резултати во врска со Лиевите групи, користејќи ја притоа теоријата за комутативни векторско вредносни структури. Овие резултати би било многу комплицирано да се докажуваат без

користење на комутативните векторско вредносни полугрупи и групи, а од друга страна пак тие се користат во проучувањето на таквите полугрупи и групи. Како генерализација на афините комутативни векторско вредносни полугрупи и групи во истата глава се добиени и проучувани проективните полугрупи и групи.

Во третата глава се проучувани векторско вредносните полугрупи и групи дефинирани на тополошки простори и многуобразија, кои ја запазуваат тополошката структура, т.е. се проучувани тополошките комутативни векторско вредносни полугрупи и групи. Специјално, покажано е дека ако е дадена таква векторско вредносна група на многуобразие, тогаш димензијата на многуобразието мора да биде 2. На крајот од третата глава е даден опис на локално евклидовите тополошки комутативни групи.

## CONTENTS

INTRODUCTIONS	1
I. COMMUTATIVE VECTOR VALUED GROUPS	13
I.1. Preliminaries	13
I.2. Universal covering commutative groups	18
I.3. Singular sets in commutative $(n,m)$ -semigroups	22
I.4. A construction of commutative $(n,m)$ -semigroups	28
I.5. Examples	35
II. AFFINE AND PROJECTIVE $\text{com}(n,m)$ -SEMIGROUPS AND GROUPS	41
II.1. Affine $\text{com}(n+k,m)$ -semigroups on $\mathbb{C}$	41
II.2. On some classes of commutative Lie subgroups of $A(m,\mathbb{C})$ and their application	56
II.3. Projective $\text{com}(n,m)$ -semigroups and groups	72
III. TOPOLOGICAL COMMUTATIVE $(n,m)$ -SEMIGROUPS AND GROUPS	88
III.1. Topological $\text{com}(n,m)$ -semigroups	88
III.2. Topological $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups	92
III.3. Locally euclidean topological $\text{com}(n+k,m)$ -groups	97
III.4. Classification of locally euclidean topological $\text{com}(n,m)$ -groups	101
REFERENCES	110
INDEX	112
NOTATIONS	114
РЕЗЮМЕ	115