

The map φ has the same form, i.e.,

$$\varphi(z) = C^{-1}z + A \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(z) = C^{-1}\bar{z} + A.$$

(i.2) Considering the second equation of $\mathbf{g}'(\psi(z)) = \mathbf{g}''(z)$, we obtain that $\psi(z)$ as well as $\varphi(z)$ is a linear function of z or \bar{z} .

We note that $C_1 = C_2 = \dots = C_t$,

$$\alpha'_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

and $\alpha_1 = \dots = \alpha_t \in \{1, -1\}$. Considering the other equations, we obtain that $r_1 = s_1, r_2 = s_2, \dots, r_t = s_t$ (hence $u = v$), $D_1 = \dots = D_{q-v} \equiv 0$, $\gamma'_{jr} \equiv 0$. Moreover,

— if $\psi(z) = Cz + K$ ($K = a_i - Cb_i = \text{const.}$), then

$$(\delta_{11}, \dots, \delta_{q-v, q-v}) = (C^{-1}, C^{-2}, \dots, C^{-r_1+1}, C^{-1}, C^{-2}, \dots, C^{-r_2+1}, \dots, C^{-1}, C^{-2}, \dots, C^{-r_t+1}), \tag{5.6}$$

$$D_{q-v+i} = K^i, \quad \delta_{q-v+i, q-v+j} = \binom{i}{j} K^{i-j} C^j \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq v), \tag{5.7}$$

and the other δ_{ij} are zeros, and all of the δ'_{ij} are zeros.

— If $\psi(z) = C\bar{z} + K$ ($K = a_i - C\bar{b}_i = \text{const.}$), then

$$(\delta'_{11}, \dots, \delta'_{q-v, q-v}) = (C^{-1}, C^{-2}, \dots, C^{-r_1+1}, C^{-1}, C^{-2}, \dots, C^{-r_2+1}, \dots, C^{-1}, C^{-2}, \dots, C^{-r_t+1}), \tag{5.8}$$

$$D_{q-v+i} = K^i, \quad \delta'_{q-v+i, q-v+j} = \binom{i}{j} K^{i-j} C^j \quad (1 \leq i \leq j \leq v), \tag{5.9}$$

and the other δ'_{ij} are zeros, and all of the δ_{ij} are zeros.

(ii) In this case, the system $\mathbf{g}'(\psi(z)) = \mathbf{g}''(z)$ becomes

$$(\psi(z))^j = D_j + \sum_{j=1}^m (\delta_{ij} z^j + \delta'_{ij} \bar{z}^j) \quad (1 \leq j \leq m).$$

Hence, for $j = 1$, it follows that $\psi(z) = P(z) + Q(\bar{z})$, $\deg P, \deg Q \leq m$. Also,

$$(\psi(z))^m = P_1(z) + Q_1(\bar{z}),$$

where $\deg P_1, \deg Q_1 \leq m$. So, $\deg P \leq 1$ and $\deg Q \leq 1$. It is not possible to have $\deg P = \deg Q = 1$, because $(\psi(z))^j$ ($j \geq 2$) does not contain terms of the form $z^i \bar{z}^j$. Thus,

$$\psi(z) = Cz + K \quad \text{or} \quad \psi(z) = C\bar{z} + K. \quad \blacksquare \tag{5.10}$$

From this theorem and its proof we obtain information about the constants D_j, C_j, α'_{ij} , etc.

Now we will give some important consequences of this theorem.

COROLLARY 5.2. *Each isomorphism between affine or projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups is induced by a complex map having one of the following forms:*

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{az + b}{cz + d} \quad \text{or} \quad \varphi(z) = \frac{a\bar{z} + b}{c\bar{z} + d} \quad (ad - bc \neq 0). \quad (5.11)$$

Note that each of these maps sends a projective $com(m+k, m)$ -group into the projective $com(m+k, m)$ -group.

In the proof of Theorem 5.1 we have proved that $r_1 = s_1, r_2 = s_2, \dots, r_t = s_t, u = v$, where r_1, r_2, \dots, r_t are the multiplicities of the singular elements a_1, \dots, a_t ; s_1, s_2, \dots, s_t are the multiplicities of the singular elements b_1, \dots, b_t ; and $\varphi(a_i) = b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, t$. Note that the multiplicities of the infinity point ∞ of the first and the second affine $com(m+k, m)$ -groups of Theorem 5.1 are $u+1$ and $v+1$, respectively, and $u+1 = v+1$. Next we will prove a more general statement.

THEOREM 5.3. *Each isomorphism between two affine or projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups sends each singular point into a singular point of the same multiplicity.*

Proof. It is sufficient to consider only the projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups. Let (M, f) and (M', f') be isomorphic projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups, $M \subseteq \mathbb{C}^*$. According to Corollary 5.2 the isomorphism is induced by a map $\varphi(z) = (az + b)/(cz + d)$ or $\varphi(z) = (a\bar{z} + b)/(c\bar{z} + d)$ ($ad - bc \neq 0$). The set of these maps with respect to the composition forms a Lie group G with two components of connection. One component contains the identical map ϵ and the other contains the map φ_- defined by $\varphi_-(z) = \bar{z}$. It is obvious that the theorem is true for $\varphi = \epsilon$ and $\varphi = \varphi_-$. If $\varphi: \mathbb{C}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^*$ induces the isomorphism between (M, f) and (M', f') , then there exists a path in the Lie group G which connects φ to ϵ or φ_- . Indeed, there exists a continuous family of isomorphisms $\varphi_\lambda, \lambda \in [0, 1]$, such that $\varphi_0 = \epsilon$ or $\varphi_0 = \varphi_-$ and $\varphi_1 = \varphi$. Since the theorem is true for $\varphi = \epsilon$ and $\varphi = \varphi_-$ and both of the two isomorphic projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups have the same number of singular elements, we obtain that each map φ_λ preserves the multiplicities of the singular elements. ■

The Lie group G mentioned in the proof of Theorem 5.3 is generated by the maps

$$(1) \quad z \rightarrow 1/z,$$

$$(2) \quad z \rightarrow z/\lambda \quad (\lambda \neq 0, \infty),$$

$$(3) \quad z \rightarrow z - \lambda \ (\lambda \neq \infty),$$

$$(4) \quad z \rightarrow \bar{z}.$$

Note that each projective $com(m+k, m)$ -group is uniquely determined by the corresponding $(m+1) \times (m+k+1)$ matrix $A = [\alpha_{ij}]$, $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+k\}$. In [28] (Theorem 3.1, Chapter 2) it is shown that the first three maps induce the matrix transformations

$$(1) \quad \alpha'_{ij} = \alpha_{m-i, m+k-j}, \tag{5.12}$$

$$(2) \quad \alpha'_{ij} = \lambda^{j-i} \alpha_{ij}, \tag{5.13}$$

$$(3) \quad \alpha'_{ij} = \sum_{0 \leq r \leq i} (-\lambda)^r \binom{r+m-i}{r} \times \sum_{0 \leq s \leq m+k-j} \alpha_{i-r, s+j} \lambda^s \binom{m+k-j}{s} \tag{5.14}$$

for $i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$, $j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+k\}$, respectively. Similarly, for the fourth transformation we have now the matrix transformation

$$(4) \quad \alpha'_{ij} = \bar{\alpha}_{ij}, \quad i \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}, \quad j \in \{0, 1, \dots, m+k\}. \tag{5.15}$$

Using these four matrix transformations, we are able to deduce whether two projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups are isomorphic or not.

According to Theorem 5.1, Corollary 5.2, and the canonical form of the affine $com(m+k, m)$ -groups we obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 5.4. *For each $t \in \{0, 1, \dots, m\}$,*

- (i) *the set of different affine $com(m+k, m)$ -groups on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t$ can be parameterized by $m+t$ independent complex parameters;*
- (ii) *the set of nonisomorphic affine $com(m+k, m)$ -groups on $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathcal{A}_t$ can be parameterized by $m+t-2$ independent complex parameters;*
- (iii) *the set of different projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups on $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \mathcal{A}_{t+1}$ can be parameterized by $m+t+1$ independent complex parameters; and*
- (iv) *the set of nonisomorphic projective $com(m+k, m)$ -groups on $\mathbb{C}^* \setminus \mathcal{A}_{t+1}$ can be parameterized by $m+t-2$ independent complex parameters.*

EXAMPLE. Let us consider the special case $m = 2$ and $k = 1$. It is proved in [28] that up to isomorphism there are only two affine $com(3, 2)$ -groups (and hence $com(2 + k, 2)$ -groups) on \mathbf{C} ($t = 0$), and they are given by

$$f(z_1^3) = w_1^2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = w_1 + w_2 \\ z_1 z_2 + z_2 z_3 + z_3 z_1 = w_1 w_2 \end{cases}, \quad (5.16)$$

$$f(z_1^3) = w_1^2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = w_1 + w_2 \\ z_1 z_2 + z_2 z_3 + z_3 z_1 = 1 + w_1 w_2 \end{cases}. \quad (5.17)$$

If $t = 1$, then it can be proved that each of the affine $com(3, 2)$ -groups is isomorphic to one of the groups

$$f(z_1^3) = w_1^2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 = w_1 \cdot w_2 \\ z_1 + z_2 + z_3 = \lambda + w_1 + w_2 \end{cases} \quad (\lambda \in \mathbf{C}). \quad (5.18)$$

The parameter λ in (5.18) cannot vanish or be changed to a constant, for example, $\lambda = 0$ or $\lambda = 1$, but note that λ and $\bar{\lambda}$ give isomorphic groups. If $t = 2$, then without loss of generality we can assume that the singular points are 0 and 1 (and ∞ too). So each affine $com(3, 2)$ -group on $\mathbf{C} \setminus \{\alpha, \beta\}$ ($\alpha \neq \beta$) is isomorphic to one of the groups

$$f(z_1^3) = w_1^2 \Leftrightarrow \begin{cases} z_1 \cdot z_2 \cdot z_3 = \lambda \cdot w_1 \cdot w_2 \\ (z_1 - 1)(z_2 - 1)(z_3 - 1) = \mu(w_1 - 1)(w_2 - 1) \end{cases} \quad (\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}_1), \quad (5.19)$$

and some of the groups in (5.19) are isomorphic, but the parameters λ and μ cannot vanish.

APPENDIX

The affine and projective $com(m + k, m)$ -groups can be generalized to topological $com(m + k, m)$ -groups. In this section we do not present new results. We will only refer to the results in [28] in order to make a connection and to give motivation for further research.

DEFINITION A.1. Let X be a topological space and n be a positive integer. The n -fold symmetric product of X is the topological factor space $X^{(n)} = X^n / \approx$, where X^n is the n -fold topological product of X and \approx is as previously defined in Section 0.

DEFINITION A.2. Let Q be a topological space and let (Q, f) be a $com(m + k, m)$ -groupoid. We say that (Q, f) is a topological (continuous) $com(m + k, m)$ -groupoid, if the map $f: Q^{(n)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$ is continuous. If (Q, f) is a topological $com(m + k, m)$ -groupoid and (Q, f) is a $com(m + k, m)$ -semigroup, then we say that (Q, f) is a topological (continuous) $com(m + k, m)$ -semigroup.

DEFINITION A.3. Let (Q, f) be a $com(m + k, m)$ -group and let $f': Q^{(k)} \times Q^{(m)} \rightarrow Q^{(m)}$ be a map defined by

$$f'(ab) = \varphi_a^{-1}(b), \quad a \in Q^{(k)}, b \in Q^{(m)}, \quad (A.1)$$

where φ_a is as defined in Section 1. We say that (Q, f) is a topological $com(m + k, m)$ -group if (Q, f) is a topological $com(m + k, m)$ -semigroup and f' is a continuous map.

Studies of the symmetric products from a topological viewpoint have been done in [20–25, 28, 30]. The most important result is that if M is a manifold, then $M^{(m)}$ ($m > 1$) is manifold if only if $\dim M = 2$ [30]. Moreover, the following is proved in [28].

THEOREM A.1 [28, Theorem 3.5 and Prop. 3.2, Chap. III]. *If (M, f) is a locally euclidean topological $com(m + k, m)$ -group for $m \geq 2$, then $\dim M = 2$, M is an oriented manifold not homeomorphic to the sphere S^2 , and*

$$M^{(m)} \cong \mathbf{R}^u \times (S^1)^v. \quad (A.2)$$

We recall that all known examples of $com(m + k, m)$ -groups, except on the discrete set Q , are constructed on subsets of \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}^* with real dimension 2. Theorem A.1 implies that each projective $com(m + k, m)$ -semigroup on $\mathbf{C}^* = S^2$ has at least one singular element. Many theorems for affine and projective $com(m + k, m)$ -groups can be generalized here. For example, the following theorem is a generalization of Theorem 1.5.

THEOREM A.2 [28, Theorem 3.3, Chap. III]. *Let (M, f) be a connected locally euclidean topological $com(m + k, m)$ -group. Then this group is induced by k^s connected locally euclidean topological $com(m + 1, m)$ -groups where s is the rank of the homology group $H_1(M^{(m)}, \mathbf{Z})$. Specially, if $M^{(m)}$ is a simply-connected manifold, then the corresponding $com(m + k, m)$ -group is induced by exactly one $com(m + 1, m)$ -group.*

Note that the concept of the complex lines which is basic in this paper can also be introduced for topological $com(m + k, m)$ -groups. Moreover, Theorems 1.6, 1.7, and 2.1 also hold for locally euclidean topological $com(m + k, m)$ -groups.

The theorems and corollaries presented in this paper and in [28] give deep results about the description of the affine and projective $com(m + k, m)$ -groups. We do not know examples of smooth $com(m + k, m)$ -groups which are not isomorphic to affine $com(m + k, m)$ -groups. The following conjecture is stated in [28].

Conjecture. Each connected, locally euclidean topological $com(m + k, m)$ -group is isomorphic to an affine $com(m + k, m)$ -group.

If this conjecture is true, then all the surfaces $S \subseteq \mathbb{C}_1^t \times \mathbb{C}_0^q$ which have the property P are those described for the affine $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -groups. Indeed, if we change the parameterization of the surface S , then we obtain a new $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group which is isomorphic to the former $\text{com}(m+k, m)$ -group.

REFERENCES

1. G. Čupona, Vector valued semigroups, *Semigroup Forum* **26** (1983), 65–74.
2. G. Čupona, N. Celakoski, S. Markovski, and D. Dimovski, Vector valued groupoids, semigroups, and groups, in "Vector Valued Semigroups and Groups, Skopje, 1988," pp. 1–78, Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, Macedonia, 1988.
3. G. Čupona and D. Dimovski, On a class of vector valued groups, in "Algebra and Logic, Zagreb, 1984," pp. 29–38, Novisad, 1985.
4. G. Čupona, D. Dimovski, and A. Samardžiski, Fully commutative vector valued groups, *Contributions Macedon. Acad. Sci. Arts VIII* **2** (1990), 5–17.
5. G. Čupona, S. Markovski, D. Dimovski, and B. Janeva, Introduction to combinatorial theory of vector valued semigroups, in "Vector Valued Semigroups and Groups, Skopje, 1988," pp. 141–183, Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, 1988.
6. G. Čupona, A. Samardžiski, and N. Celakoski, Fully commutative vector valued groupoids, in "Algebra and Logic, Sarajevo, 1987," pp. 29–42, Novi Sad, 1989.
7. D. Dimovski, Some existence conditions for vector valued groups, *God. Zb. Math. Fac.* **33/34** (1982–1983), 99–103.
8. D. Dimovski, Free vector valued semigroups, in "Algebra and Logic, Cetinje, 1986," pp. 55–62, Novi Sad, 1987.
9. D. Dimovski, On $(3,2)$ -groups, in "Algebra and Logic, Cetinje, 1986," pp. 63–71, Novi Sad, 1987.
10. D. Dimovski, Examples of $(2m+s, m)$ -groups, *Contributions Macedon. Acad. Sci. Arts VII* **2** (1985), 105–114.
11. D. Dimovski, Free $(n+1, n)$ -groups, in "Vector Valued Semigroups and Groups, Skopje, 1988," pp. 103–122, Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, 1988.
12. D. Dimovski, Groups with unique product structures, *J. Algebra* **146** (1992), 205–209.
13. D. Dimovski, On $(m+k, m)$ -groups for $k < m$, in "General Algebra and Discrete Mathematics," pp. 93–99, Helderman-Verlag, Berlin, 1995.
14. D. Dimovski and S. Ilić, Commutative $(2m, m)$ -groups, in "Vector Valued Semigroups and Groups, Skopje, 1988," pp. 79–90, Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, 1988.
15. D. Dimovski and S. Ilić, Free commutative $(2m, m)$ -groups, *Mat. Bilten* **13** (1989), 25–34.
16. D. Dimovski and S. Ilić, Free $(2m, m)$ -groups, *Znanstvena Revija* **2** (1990), 29–40.
17. D. Dimovski, B. Janeva, and S. Ilić, Free (n, m) -groups, *Comm. Algebra* **19** (1991), 965–978.
18. D. Dimovski and K. Trenčevski, One-dimensional $(4,2)$ -Lie groups, in "Vector Valued Semigroups and Groups, Skopje, 1988," pp. 91–102, Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, 1988.
19. D. Dimovski and K. Trenčevski, Nonexistence of continuous $(4,3)$ -groups on \mathbb{R} , in "Algebra and Logic, Sarajevo, 1987," pp. 49–57, Novi Sad, 1989.
20. A. Dold, Homology of symmetric products and other functions of complexes, *Ann. of Math.* **75** (1962), 331–341.
21. S. D. Liao, On the topology of cyclic products on spheres, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **77** (1954), 520–551.

22. H. R. Morton, Symmetric product of the circle, *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **62** (1967), 349–352.
23. M. Richardson, On the homology characters of symmetric products, *Duke Math. J.* **1** (1935), 50–59.
24. P. A. Smith, The topology of involutions, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **19** (1933), 612–618.
25. R. Swan, The homology of cyclic products, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **95** (1960), 27–68.
26. K. Trenčevski, Some examples of fully commutative vector valued groups, *Contributions Macedon. Acad. Sci. Arts. IX 1/2* (1988), 27–37.
27. K. Trenčevski, A note on non-existence for some classes of continuous $(3,2)$ groups, in “Algebra and Logic, Cctinje, 1986,” pp. 205–208, Navi Sad, 1987.
28. K. Trenčevski and D. Dimovski, “Complex commutative vector valued groups,” Macedonian Academy of Sciences and Arts, Skopje, 1992.
29. B. Trpenovski and G. Čupona, $[m, n]$ -groupoids, *Bull. Assoc. Math. Phys. Macedonia* **21** (1970), 19–29 [in Macedonian].
30. C. H. Wagner, Symmetric, cyclic and permutation products of manifolds, *Dissert. Math. (Rozrawy math.)* **182** (1980), 3–48.